UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

LAWRENCE E. GREENE,

Plaintiff,	Case No. 1:22-cv-13013
V.	Honorable Thomas L. Ludington United States District Judge
RANDEE REWERTS, et al.,	C
Defendants,	
	/

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Plaintiff Lawrence E. Greene is a Michigan prisoner incarcerated at Carson City Correctional Facility (CCCF). He filed a civil-rights complaint in this district under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, identifying Randee Rewerts, Walrath, Petersen, and Kurtz as Defendants. ECF No. 1. Plaintiff alleges Defendants violated his constitutional rights by retaliating against him for filing a grievance at CCCF. *Id*.

But CCCF is in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan. So, the case will be transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan.

Venue for federal civil cases generally is governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Relevant here, § 1391 provides that a civil action may be brought in a judicial district in which (1) any defendant resides or (2) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). If venue is improper in the district where a case was filed but would be proper in another district, then "a district court has the power to sua sponte transfer [the] case" "to a court that has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1406(a) or 1631."

Case 1:22-cv-13013-TLL-DRG ECF No. 5, PageID.28 Filed 01/05/23 Page 2 of 2

Cosmichrome, Inc. v. Spectra Chrome, LLC, 504 F. App'x 468, 472 (6th Cir. 2012) (unpublished)

(collecting cases).

The individually identified Defendants are employed at CCCF, which is in Montcalm

County, Michigan. The alleged events in the complaint also occurred in Montcalm County.

Montcalm County is part of the Western District of Michigan. 28 U.S.C. § 102(b). Because there

is no apparent basis for venue in this district, but the facts alleged in the Complaint suggest that

venue would be proper in the Western District, this Court finds that the interests of justice would

be served by transferring the case to the district where it should have been initially filed: The

United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan. 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Notably, this Court has not decided whether Plaintiff may proceed in forma pauperis, and

it has not reviewed the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A or 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to transfer the

above-captioned case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan

under 28 U.S.C. §1406(a).

Dated: January 5, 2023

s/Thomas L. Ludington THOMAS L. LUDINGTON

United States District Judge

- 2 -